UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,143	06/30/2006	Keiji Kameishi	1032404-000153	9916
	7590	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3749	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/585,143	KAMEISHI, KEIJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Gravini	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ja</u>	nuary 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
	/ <del></del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	pa Qaay,o, ,000 0.2,, ,0					
Disposition of Claims						
	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060630.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
гарет ічо(э)нічан раке <u>20000030</u> .						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11-13, 15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tatsutani et al. (US 5,459,944). The claims are reasonably and broadly construed, in light of the accompanying specification, to be disclosed by Tatsutani, as comprising:

a hand insertion chamber **5** having, a hollow portion and an opening for inserting a wet hand in the hollow portion, the hollow portion being defined by a first inner surface and a second inner surface substantially opposing the first inner surface as shown in figures 1 and 2;

a first air opening **33** arranged on the first inner surface and configured to blow a first air jet towards the second inner surface; and

a second air opening **32** arranged on the second inner surface and configured to blow a second air jet toward the first inner surface,

Application/Control Number: 10/585,143 Page 3

Art Unit: 3749

wherein the first air opening is displaced towards an interior of the hollow portion with respect to the second air opening such that axes 200 of the first air jet and the second air jet do not collide (please see figure 1 and column 4 lines 36-39), and a portion of the first inner surface that receives the second air jet from the second air opening is inclined toward the interior of the hollow portion as shown in figure 2.

Tatsutani also discloses the claimed portion of the first inner surface that receives the second air jet is concave as shown in figure 12, wherein a portion of the second inner surface that receives the first air jet from the first air opening is inclined toward the interior of the hollow portion as shown in figures 10 & 11, wherein the first air opening and the second air opening are oriented such that axes of the first air jet axis and the second air jet are any one of parallel and diverge from each other at column 4 lines 36-49, and wherein among the first air opening and the second air opening, the one that faces the palms of the hand blows more amount of air as shown in figure 1.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,143 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 14 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tatsutani. Tatsutani discloses the claimed invention, as rejected above, except for the claimed displacement distance and array of outlet slits with v-shape gap. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to recite these features, since the teachings of Tatsutani would perform the invention as claimed, regardless of the displacement distance and array of outlet slits with v-shape gap.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-20 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11-21 of

Art Unit: 3749

copending Application No. 10/585,920. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to recite the first and second length differences, recited in the copending claimed invention, since both claimed inventions perform as claimed, regardless of the first and second length differences.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 571 272 4875. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven B. McAllister can be reached on 571 272 6785. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,143 Page 6

Art Unit: 3749

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 26, 2008 /Stephen Gravini/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3749